

TOWARDS ELIMINATION OF SUBSIDIES IN FISHERIES

Pavel Salz

Board of Baltic Sea 2020 12 April 2010



OBJECTIVE

Review subsidies in EU fisheries in relation to market distortions and sustainability, with particular attention to the Baltic Sea region.



CONCLUSIONS

Total subsidies 2.0 bln euro / year

Catching sector 1.5 bln euro

Main support programmes:

EFF, management, de minimis and 3rd countries

Elimination of subsidies:

- 1. Stop support to individual beneficiaries by 2013;
- 2. Phase out support to 'common interest' in 2014-2020;
- 3. Create positive incentives for effective management;
- 4. Transfer regional and social issues to EFRD and ESF.

Replace subsidies by user rights and access fees.



BALTIC AREA

- 10-15% of EU fisheries sector
- One third of the EFF funds
- Priorities significantly different from the EU average:
 - Low priority: fleet reduction,
 - High priority: regional development.



FISHERIES - EU-27 AND BALTIC

	EU-27		Baltic MS	
	Gross	Employ-	Gross	Employ-
	value	ment	value	ment
	added	(1000	added	(1000
	(mln euro)	persons)	(mln euro)	persons)
Catching	3,933	187	407	18
Processing	4,637	138	1,095	50
Aquaculture	1,643	63	168	10
Ancillary	745	19	109	4
Total	10,977	407	1,779	82



ANNUAL SUBSIDIES* (mln euro) FRAMIAN

Policy / measure	Total	Fishing fleet
EFF	1,000	600
De minimis	240	240
EAGF	52	50
EFRD and ESF		
Third countries	150	150
Management	500	460
Market protection		
Payment for access		
Excise duty on fuel		
Total	1,942	1,500

^{*} Budgets not necessarily equal to spendings

EFF - PRIORITY AXIS 1



Impact on economic performance	Impact on stocks			
Decommissioning				
Bottom in price level, Delays adjustment	Stock not protected from new entry			
Temporary cessation				
Effect uncertain	Will stock recover and when?			
Investments on board and selectivity				
Individuals favoured	Only large scale change helps			
Small scale fishing				
Least efficient producers	Fishing in nursery areas			
Socio-economic compensation				
Retirement and young fishermen	No relation to conservation			



BACK TO BASICS

- CFP pursues sustainability through management.
- Management targets human (economic) behaviour.
- Subsidies give wrong signals and create inconsistencies.
- Incentives must be consistent with broader societal and economic processes.
- Payment for access and tradable user rights will generate public benefits and promote efficiency of the sector on on-going basis.



FACING A SYSTEMIC PROBLEM?

Within the political system it is unclear who bears the responsibility for failure of the fisheries management and how 'he' can be held accountable.

Markets fail to reflect environmental efficiency and favor hedonic behavior.

Cultural attitude towards nature, science and individual interests places the society outside its natural environment and gives the human individual interests highest priority. Tragedy of the commons is born – among fishermen and among fisheries ministers.